Regression analysis for biological yield and harvest index in rice and wheat crops under rice-wheat cropping system

V. GUPTA AND H. L. SHARMA

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
College of Agriculture, JNKVV
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh

ABSTRACT

Using an experiment on integrated nutrient management in rice-wheat cropping system at Jabalpur (MP), a regression analysis for biological yield and harvest index of both the crops has been worked out to evaluate the contribution of various vegetative and reproductive attributes. Two years data on both the crops revealed that plant height, number of tillers at harvest, LAI, panicle length and grains per panicle are determinants for biological yield and harvest index.

Integrated nutrient management system is an important component of sustainable agricultural intensification. The goal of INM is to integrate the use of all natural and man-made sources of plant nutrients, so as to increase crop productivity in an efficient and environmentally benign manner without diminishing the capacity of the soil to be productive for present and future generations. It seeks to maintain or improve soil fertility for sustaining the desired level of crop production and crop productivity through optimization of the benefit from all possible sources of plant nutrients in an integrated manner.

Nearly 500 cropping systems exist in different parts of the country depending on their suitability to agro–climatic conditions, infra-structural facilities, socio-economic status of the farmers and availability of technology as well as extension services. Out of them, 10 cropping systems have much concern in agriculture by covering sizeable area and significant production. Amongst them rice-wheat system is the most dominating double cropping system in India and has become mainstay of cereal production. In the traditional areas, particularly those endowed with rich natural resources, rice made its niche by replacing low yielding high-risk crops such as maize, sorghum pulses etc. and wheat replaced barley, pulses and mustard. Over the years, rice became a major crop of non-traditional areas such as Punjab, Haryana and western Utter Pradesh also by replacing maize, pearl millet, cotton and pulses. Similarly, in West Bengal wheat area spread remarkably, making it most widely grown crop during winter season. Rice and wheat both the crops requires high quantity of nutrients to harness their potential yield. However, it is unaffordable to poor and subsistence farmers of the country. Application of inadequate and unbalanced quantity of fertilizers to rice and wheat crops results in low crop yield as well as unsustainable productivity. Therefore, a long-term experiment has been initiated on integrated nutrient management in rice-wheat system at Jabalpur (MP) since *kharif* season 1987-88 to maintain the sustainable and high grain yields of both the crops rice and wheat without degradation of soil health under irrigated production system. The present paper deals with the studies during the year 2002-03 and 2003-04.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The soil of the experimental field was neutral in reaction (soil pH 7.7) and normal in EC (0.38 dS m^{-1}) with medium organic carbon content (6.9 g kg^{-1}) and analyzing medium in available N (260 kg ha^{-1}), P (16 kg ha^{-1}) and high in available K (448 kg ha^{-1}) contents. The rainfall was 1266 and 1756 mm during the two consecutive years *i.e.* 2002-03 and 2003-04. There were 12 treatments (Table 1). Different organic manures *viz.*, FYM (1.22-0.55-0.90% and 1.18, 0.48, 1.02% N, P, K in 2002-03 and 2003-04 respectively), wheat straw (0.49-0.09-1.80% and 0.50, 0.10, 1.68% N, P, K in 2002-03 and 2003-04 respectively) and green leaf manure of sunnhemp (2.21-0.48-1.77% and 2.30, 0.51, 1.79% N, P, K in 2002-03 and 2003-04 respectively) were analyzed and their quantities required to substitute a specified amount of N as per the treatments was calculated. Recommended 100% NPK for both crops was 120 kg N + 60 kg P_2O_5 + 40 kg K_2O ha^{-1} applied as per the treatment through urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash respectively. The experiments were laid out in Randomized Block Design with 4 replications. Rice cv. Kranti was grown by using 40 kg seeds ha^{-1} under transplanting with 20 cm × 15 cm planting geometry. Wheat cv. Lok-1 was grown by using seeds 100 kg ha^{-1} in rows 20 cm apart. Other cultural practices *viz.* weed management and plant protection measures were followed as per recommendation in the state. Data recorded during the course of experimentation were analyzed statistically as per the procedure suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1967).

Email: drhlsharma_jnkvv@rediffmail.com

Table 1: Details of treatments under integrated nutrient management programme

Treatments *Kharif* (Rice cv. Kranti)		*Rabi* (Wheat cv.Lok-1)
T_1	No fertilizers, no organic manures(Control)	No fertilizers, no organic manures (Control)
T_2	50% recommended NPK through fertilizers	50% recommended NPK through fertilizers
T_3	50% recommended NPK through fertilizers	100% recommended NPK through fertilizers
T_4	75% recommended NPK through fertilizers	75% recommended NPK through fertilizers
T_5	100% recommended NPK through fertilizers	100% recommended NPK through fertilizers
T_6	50% recommended NPK throughfertilizers + 50% N through FYM	100% recommended NPK through fertilizers
T_7	75% recommended NPK through fertilizers + 25% N through FYM	75% recommended NPK through fertilizers
T_8	50% recommended NPK through fertilizer + 50% N through wheat straw	100% recommended NPK through fertilizers
T_9	75% recommended NPK through fertilizers+ 25% N through wheat straw	75% recommended NPK through fertilizers
T_{10}	50% recommended NPK through fertilizers + 50% N through green leaf manuring (Sunhemp)	100% recommended NPK through fertilizers
T_{11}	75% recommended NPK through fertilizers + 25% N through green leaf manuring (Sunhemp)	75% recommended NPK through fertilizers
T_{12}	Farmer's practice (40kg N + 20kg P_2O_5 + 3t FYM ha^{-1})	Farmer's practice (40kg N + 20 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1})

Note: Recommended 100% NPK for both crops was 120 kg N + 60 kg P_2O_5 + 40 kg K_2O/ha through urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Rice

Let Y_1, Y_2 and Y_3 denote the grain yield, straw yield and harvest index of rice crop respectively. Also, let X_1 be plant height at 30 days after transplanting (DAT); X_2, plant height at 60 DAT; X_3, plant height at harvest; X_4, number of tillers at 30 DAT; X_5, number of tillers at 60 DAT; X_6, number of tillers at harvest; X_7, Leaf Area Index; X_8, effective tillers per m^2; X_9, panicle length and X_{10}, number of grains per panicle respectively. The multiple regression analysis of biological yield (grain and straw yield) and harvest index on the characters of the plants was performed having all the variables first and then other three variables (X_8,X_9 and X_{10}) looking to the importance of the variables.

The regression line of grain yield for the year 2002-03 is as under
$Y_1 = -83.505 + 0.123 X_1 + 0.309 X_2 + 4.839 X_3 - 3.672 X_4 - 0.126 X_5 + 0.251 X_6 + 3.837 X_7$
            (0.172)   (0.076)   (10.532)   (2.040)   (0.414)   (0.698)   (2.257)
The value of R^2 was found to be 0.99 and F-ratio was also significant (Table 2).
$Y_1 = -0.126 + 0.249 X_8 - 0.596 X_9 + 0.262 X_{10}$
            (0.182)   (0.306)   (0.149)
The value of R^2 was 99.30% for this model and F-ratio was also significant (Table 2).
The regression line of grain yield for the year 2003-04 is as under
$Y_1 = 11.701 + 0.476 X_1 - 0.169 X_2 + 0.481 X_3 – 0.467 X_4 + 0.817 X_5 - 0.471 X_6 – 0.0641 X_7$
            (0.259)   (0.072)   (9.632)   (1.638)   (0.242)   (1.128)   (2.130)
In this case the value of R^2 was 98.80% and F-ratio was also significant (Table 3).
$Y_1 = -2.9 + 0.241 X_8 – 0.0659 X_9 + 0.219 X_{10}$
         (0.286)   (0.568)   (0.211)
The value of R^2 was 97.50% for this model and F-ratio was also significant (Table 3).
The regression line of straw yield for the year 2002-03 is as under
$Y_2 = -39.566 + 0.266 X_1 + 0.621 X_2 + 21.177 X_3 – 8.182 X_4 - 0.708 X_5 + 1.004 X_6 + 3.367 X_7$
            (0.467)   (0.207)   (28.653)   (5.549)   (1.127)   (1.899)   (6.142)
The value of R^2 was 99.0% for this model and F-ratio was also significant (Table 4).
$Y_2 = -5.131 + 0.578 X_8 - 0.886 X_9 + 0.459 X_{10}$
            (0.179)   (0.302)   (0.147)
The F-ratio was also significant and the value of R^2 was found to be 0.99 (Table 4).

The regression line of straw yield for the year 2003-04 is as under

$Y_2 = 112.609 + 1.49 X_1 - 0.444 X_2 + 14.331 X_3 - 3.110 X_4 + 0.763 X_5 - 3.143 X_6 - 0.562 X_7$

(0.846) (0.235) (31.424) (5.343) (0.79) (3.682) (6.95)

The value of R^2 was 96.80% for this model and F-ratio was also significant (Table 5).

$Y_2 = -5.169 + 0.657 X_8 - 0.786 X_9 + 0.559 X_{10}$

(0.165) (0.298) (0.139)

The value of R^2 was 99.40% for this model and F-ratio was also significant (Table 5).

The regression line of harvest index for the year 2002-03 is as under

$Y_3 = 11.542 - 0.0140 X_1 - 0.0134 X_2 - 1.859 X_3 + 0.237 X_4 + 0.0747 X_5 - 0.429 X_6 + 0.680 X_7$

(0.027) (0.012) (1.64) (0.318) (0.065) (0.109) (0.351)

F-ratio was also significant and the value of R^2 was found to be 0.90 significant (Table 6).

$Y_3 = 34.310 - 0.0154 X_8 - 0.0655 X_9 + 0.0134 X_{10}$

(0.059) (0.10) (0.049)

The F-ratio was also significant and the value of R^2 was found to be 0.51 (Table 6).

The regression line of harvest index for the year 2003-04 is as under

$Y_3 = 9.671 - 0.134 X_1 + 0.0217 X_2 - 1.871 X_3 + 0.466 X_4 + 0.172 X_5 + 0.468 X_6 + 0.197 X_7$

(0.084) (0.023) (3.136) (0.533) (0.079) (0.367) (0.694)

The value of R^2 was 78.20% for this model and F-ratio was also significant (Table 7).

$Y_3 = 32.502 + 0.0364 X_8 + 0.124 X_9 - 0.0449 X_{10}$

(0.118) (0.235) (0.087)

The F-ratio was also significant and the value of R^2 was found to be 0.249 (Table 7).

Table 2: ANOVA for yield attributing characters of rice during 2002-03

Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F-ratio
$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7$	7	971.9	138.9	88.1
Residual	4	7.3	1.6	$R^2 = 0.99$
X_8, X_9, X_{10}	3	971.9	324.0	354.6
Residual	8	7.3	0.9	$R^2 = 0.99$
Total	**11**	**979.2**		

Table 3: ANOVA for yield attributing characters of rice during 2003-04

Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F-ratio
$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7$	7	568.1	81.2	48.2
Residual	4	6.7	1.7	$R^2 = 0.99$
X_8, X_9, X_{10}	3	560.5	186.8	104.1
Residual	8	14.4	1.8	$R^2 = 0.98$
Total	**11**	**574.8**		

Table 4: ANOVA for yield attributing characters in rice straw yield during 2002-03

Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F-ratio
$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7$	7	4403.9	629.1	53.9
Residual	4	46.7	11.7	$R^2 = 0.99$
X_8, X_9, X_{10}	3	4443.5	1481.2	1667.7
Residual	8	7.1	0.9	$R^2 = 0.99$
Total	**11**	**4450.6**		

Table 5: ANOVA for yield attributing characters in rice straw yield during 2003-04

Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F-ratio
$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7$	7	2195.4	309.4	17.1
Residual	4	13.8	17.9	$R^2 = 0.97$
X_8, X_9, X_{10}	3	2195.4	731.8	424.8
Residual	8	13.8	1.7	$R^2 = 0.99$
Total	**11**	**2209.1**		

Table 6: ANOVA for yield attributing characters in rice harvest index during 2002-03

Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F-ratio
$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7$	7	1.44	0.21	5.36
Residual	4	0.15	3.82	$R^2 = 0.90$
X_8, X_9, X_{10}	3	0.81	0.27	2.78
Residual	8	0.78	0.10	$R^2 = 0.51$
Total	**11**	**1.59**		

Table 7: ANOVA for yield attributing characters in rice harvest index during 2003-04

Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F-ratio
$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7$	7	2.55	0.37	2.04
Residual	4	0.71	0.18	$R^2 = 0.78$
X_8, X_9, X_{10}	3	0.82	0.27	0.89
Residual	8	2.45	0.31	$R^2 = 0.25$
Total	**11**	**3.27**		

Wheat

Let Y_1, Y_2 and Y_3 denote the grain yield, straw yield and harvest index of rice crop respectively. Also, let X_1 be plant height at 30 days after transplanting (DAT); X_2 , plant height at 60 DAT; X_3 , plant height at harvest; X_4 , number of tillers at 30 DAT; X_5 , number of tillers at 60 DAT; X_6 , number of tillers at harvest; X_7 , Leaf Area Index; X_8 , effective tillers per m^2; X_9 , panicle length and X_{10} , number of grains per panicle respectively. The multiple regression analysis of biological yield (grain and straw yield) and harvest index on the characters of the plants was performed having all the variables first and then other three variables (X_8,X_9 and X_{10}) looking to the importance of the variables.

The regression line of grain yield for the year 2002-03 is as under

Y_1= -335.778 + 0.0361 X_1 − 0.0631 X_2 + 6.38 X_3 + 0.101 X_4 − 1.036 X_5 + 6.901 X_6 + 0.193 X_7
  (0.282) (0.123) (4.953) (0.050) (2.914) (5.58) (0.178)

The F-ratio was also significant and the value of R^2 was found to be 0.99 (Table 8)

Y_1= -0.0286 + 0.337 X_8 - 0.511 X_9 + 0.270 X_{10}
  (0.266) (1.215) (0.142)

The value of R^2 was 98.20% for this model and F-ratio was also significant (Table 8)

The regression line of grain yield for the year 2003-04 is as under

Y_1= -65.285 + 0.0751 X_1 + 0.0117 X_2 + 7.058 X_3 − 0.0113 X_4 - 1.545 X_5 + 1.424 X_6 + 0.229 X_7
  (0.184) (0.058) (3.253) (0.045) (1.317) (4.263) (0.090)

The value of R^2 was found to be 0.993 and F-ratio was also significant (Table 9).

Y_1= -0.321 + 0.180 X_8 + 0.246 X_9 + 0.260 X_{10}
  (0.166) (0.805) (0.129)

The value of R^2 was 98.20% for this model and F-ratio was also significant (Table 9).

The regression line of straw yield for the year 2002-03 is as under

Y_2= -327.043 + 0.213 X_1 - 0.135 X_2 + 8.513 X_3 + 0.161 X_4 − 1.865 X_5 + 6.838 X_6 + 0.319 X_7
  (0.294) (0.128) (5.170) (0.053) (3.042) (5.825) (0.186)

The value of R^2 was 99.50% for this model and F-ratio was also significant (Table 10).

Y_2 = -1.170 + 0.240 X_8 + 0.207 X_9 + 0.481 X_{10}
  (0.387) (1.767) (0.206)

The F-ratio was also significant and the value of R^2 was found to be 0.983 (Table 10).

The regression line of straw yield for the year 2003-04 is as under

Y_2 = -4.868 − 0.0544 X_1 + 0.0509 X_2 + 11.278 X_3 + 0.0169 X_4 − 2.667 X_5 + 0.306 X_6 + 0.357 X_7
  (0.289) (0.092) (5.117) (0.072) (2.071) (6.705) (0.142)

The value of R^2 was 99.30% for this model and F-ratio was also significant (Table 11).

Y_2 = - 0.993 + 0.309 X_8 + 0.148 X_9 + 0.404 X_{10}
  (0.218) (1.061) (0.170)

The F-ratio was also significant and the value of R^2 was found to be 0.986 (Table 11).

The regression line of harvest index for the year 2002-03 is as under

Y_3 = − 43.453 - 0.0876 X_1 + 0.0224 X_2 + 0.451 X_3 − 0.0953X_4 + 0.223 X_5 + 1.657 X_6 − 0.0205X_7
  (0.051) (0.022) (0.905) (0.009) (0.532) (1.019) (0.032)

The value of R^2 was 82.60% for this model and F-ratio was also significant (Table 12).

Y_3 = 41.159 + 0.128 X_8 − 0.474 X_9 − 0.0455 X_{10}
  (0.048) (0.221) (0.026)

The F-ratio was also significant and the value of R^2 was found to be 0.675 (Table 12).

The regression line of harvest index for the year 2003-04 is as under

Y_3 = − 62.821 + 0.0633 X_1 − 0.0580 X_2 − 0.775 X_3 − 0.0120 X_4 + 0.351 X_5 + 2.030 X_6 − 0.0165 X_7
  (0.107) (0.034) (1.891) (0.026) (0.766) (2.479) (0.052)

The value of R^2 was 37.30% for this model and F-ratio was also significant (Table 13).

Y_3 = 41.047 + 0.0292 X_8 − 0.0574 X_9 − 0.0329 X_{10}
  (0.069) (0.333) (0.053)

The F-ratio was also significant and the value of R^2 was found to be 0.130 (Table 13).

Table 8: ANOVA for yield attributing characters in wheat grain yield (2002-03)

Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F-ratio
$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7$	7	788.8	112.7	59.3
Residual	4	7.6	1.970	$R^2 = 0.99$
X_8, X_9, X_{10}	3	782.0	260.7	145.2
Residual	8	14.4	1.8	$R^2 = 0.98$
Total	**11**	**796.4**		

Table 9: ANOVA for yield attributing characters in wheat grain yield (2003-04)

Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F-ratio
$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7$	7	570.5	81.5	84.9
Residual	4	3.8	1.0	$R^2 = 0.99$
X_8, X_9, X_{10}	3	563.9	188.0	143.0
Residual	8	10.5	1.3	$R^2 = 0.98$
Total	**11**	**574.4**		

Table 10: ANOVA for yield attributing characters in wheat straw yield (2002-03)

Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F-ratio
$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7$	7	1818.7	259.8	125.5
Residual	4	8. 3	2.1	$R^2 = 0.99$
X_8, X_9, X_{10}	3	1796.6	598.9	157.7
Residual	8	30.4	3. 8	$R^2 = 0.98$
Total	**11**	**1827.0**		

In case of rice and wheat crop, the grain yield, straw yield and harvest index may be described by plant height, number of tillers at harvest, LAI, panicle or earhead length and grains per panicle or earhead which have been shown by above mentioned regression lines and ANOVA tables. These findings are consistent with the findings of Rao and Saxena (1999) on the experiment conducted in rice at Jagdalpur, Madhya Pradesh and Nakishima *et al.* (1990) in Japan. Rajeswari and Nadarajan (1996) and Mahajan *et al.* (1986) also found the similar kinds of results in both rice and wheat crops.

Table 11: ANOVA for yield attributing characters in wheat straw yield (2003-04)

Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F-ratio
$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7$	7	1279.1	182.7	77.0
Residual	4	9.5	2.4	$R^2 = 0.99$
X_8, X_9, X_{10}	3	1270.3	423.5	185.5
Residual	8	18.3	2.3	$R^2 = 0.99$
Total	**11**	**1288.6**		

Table 12: ANOVA for yield attributing characters in wheat harvest index (2002-03)

Source of Variation	df	SS	MS	F-ratio
$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7$	7	1.21	0.17	2.71
Residual	4	0.25	3.82	$R^2 = 0.83$
X_8, X_9, X_{10}	3	0.99	0.33	5.54
Residual	8	0.47	0.059	$R^2 = 0.68$
Total	**11**	**1.46**		

Table 13: ANOVA for yield attributing characters in wheat harvest index (2003-04)

Source of Variation	df	SS	MS	F-ratio
$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7$	7	0.77	0.11	0.34
Residual	4	1.30	0.33	$R^2 = 0.37$
X_8, X_9, X_{10}	3	0.27	8.95	0.40
Residual	8	1.80	0.23	$R^2 = 0.13$
Total	**11**	**2.07**		

REFERENCES

Mahajan, R. K., Rao, A. V. and Prasad, A. S. R. 1986. Ridge-regression technique for selection of yield components in late-maturing rice varieties. *Indian J. Agric. Sci*., **56**: 328-33.

Nakishima, Y., Sawada, M. and Imai, K. 1990. Regression analysis for growth prediction of early season rice cv. Koshihikari. *Report of the Tokai Branch of the Crop Science Society of Japan*, **109**: 25-26.

Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. 1967. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*. ICAR, New Delhi.

Rajeswari, S. and Nadarajan, N. 1996. Parent progeny regression analysis and correlation studies in rice involving cytoplasmic male sterile line rice crosses. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ*., **1**: 14-17.

Rao, S. S. and Saxena, R. R. 1999. Correlation and regression analysis in upland rice. *Oryza*, **36**: 82-84.